



Alternatives to Expanded Polystyrene (EPS)

In August 2019 WRAP and the UK Plastics Pact launched the report <u>'Eliminating problem plastics'</u>, the report listed 8 items to be eliminated, polystyrene in all packaging forms is one of the eight.

This document is intended to suggest alternatives to expanded polystyrene (EPS) in chips and its rigid form. Suitability for the intended use is the responsibility of individual companies and their specific packaging needs.

Please note: given the complex factors involved, LCA considerations are not included.

Background

Polystyrene is not recycled in the UK. It is often used for food takeaways containers and yoghurt pots and to package white goods like microwaves. Alternative materials can be used.

The scope to support the avoidance of polystyrene packaging is only household (post-consumer) material that is collected by local authorities. Some companies provide take-back services for expanded polystyrene used to package consumer goods e.g. packaging removed and taken back by the company for recycling at the point of delivery. In this case, the material is out of scope for elimination since it is not entering household recycling.

Alternatives to EPS chips

The table below shows some possible alternatives to EPS chips, their properties and considerations.

Alternative	Properties	Considerations
Air filled polyethylene	Lightweight	Mono-material where possible - avoid nylon reinforced
(PE)	Shock absorbing	films
	Fill on site	Minimise the use of colour
	Accepted for recycling at many supermarkets	Recycled content opportunity
PE bubble wrap	Lightweight	Mono-material where possible - avoid nylon reinforced
	Shock absorbing	films
	 Accepted for recycling at many supermarkets 	Recycled content opportunity
Corrugated board	Lightweight	Avoid shredded cardboard
based	Shock absorbing	Avoid wax coated, foils and plastic laminates
	Widely recycled	Ensure recycled content is specified
Paper based	Lightweight	Minimise the use of colour
	Widely recycled	Avoid wax coated, foils and plastic laminates
		Ensure recycled content is specified

Innovations are continuously being released including compostable versions, considerations for all alternatives to EPS chips should include:

- Likelihood of capture and processing through the intended route
- Minimise the chances of entering landfill
- Consider the carbon footprint
- Investigate reusable options

Alternatives to Rigid EPS

The table below shows some possible alternatives to rigid EPS, their properties and considerations.

Alternative	Properties	Considerations
Moulded fibre	Vibration, dampening and cushioning properties	Minimise the use of colour
	Product nests for efficient shipping	Ensure recycled content is specified
	Static neutral	
	Recyclable	
	Not affected by temperature	
Expanded	Vibration, dampening and cushioning properties	Minimise the use of colour
Polypropylene	Recyclable	Recycled content opportunity
	Regains shape	
	Resistant to water and other liquids	
	Insulating	
	Load bearing	
Expanded PE	Vibration, dampening and cushioning properties.	 Avoid chemically crosslinked versions (non-recyclable)
	Recyclable	Minimise the use of colour
	Regains shape	Recycled content opportunity
	Resistant to water and other liquids	
	Insulating	
Cardboard	Vibration, dampening and cushioning properties	Minimise the use of colour
	Recyclable	Avoid wax coatings and foils
	Static Neutral	Avoid plastic-based laminates
	Insulating	Ensure recycled content is specified
	Load bearing	

Innovations are continuously being released including compostable versions. When considering alternatives to rigid EPS it is important to think about:

- Likelihood of capture and processing through the intended route
- Minimise the chances of entering landfill
- Consider the carbon footprint
- · Investigate reusable options

It should be noted that UK recyclers are concerned about the use of expanded PET owing to the risk of contaminating the polyolefin stream. It is therefore likely to be classified as not recyclable and it is recommended to avoid ePET.

While we have taken reasonable steps to ensure this report is accurate, WRAP does not accept liability for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising from reliance on this report. Readers are responsible for assessing the accuracy and conclusions of the content of this report. Quotations and case studies have been drawn from the public domain, with permissions sought where practicable. This report does not represent endorsement of the examples used and has not been endorsed by the organisations and individuals featured within it. This material is subject to copyright. You can copy it free of charge and may use excerpts from it provided they are not used in a misleading context and you must identify the source of the material and acknowledge WRAP's copyright. You must not use this report or material from it to endorse or suggest WRAP has endorsed a commercial product or service. For more details please see WRAP's terms and conditions on our website at www.wrap.org.uk

